RTD-292

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE

CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

August 28, 2017

A regular meeting of the Board of Directors of Central Basin Municipal Water District was held on Monday, August 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m., at 6252 Telegraph Road, Commerce, California.

President Apodaca called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. and presided thereover.

- 1. ROLL CALL. The following Directors were present: Oskoui, Vasquez, Grajeda, Gedney, Hawkins, Aceituno and President Apodaca; Director Chacon arrived later in the meeting. Also present were General Manager Hunt and Assistant General Counsel Pellman.
 - -INVOCATION. Clarence Moore delivered the Invocation.
 - -PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. Director Vasquez led the Pledge.
 - CERTIFICATION BY THE BOARD SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

 THAT THE AGENDA WAS POSTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BROWN ACT. The

 Board Secretary confirmed the agenda was posted in accordance with the Brown Act.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT AND PRESENTATIONS- 1) SERVICE AWARDS AND STANDING OVATION

(Members of the public are invited to present comments to the Board on matters within the District's jurisdiction but not on the agenda. The public may present comments on agenda items when the matter is called. There will be a three (3) minute limitation per each member of the audience who wishes to make comments in order to provide a full opportunity to every person who desires to address the Board of Directors.)

Director Chacon arrived to the meeting at 10:06 a.m. during Speaker Comments.

- The following speakers addressed the Board in regards to their opposition to the California WaterFix:
 - Clarence Moore;
 - o Yvonne Watson:
 - Dr. Molly Rhodes;
 - Sara Patricia Huezo;

- Melissa V. Parra;
- Martha Camacho-Rodriguez;
- Conner Everts;
- Brenna Norton;
- Esperanza Vielma;
- Jessica Salans;
- o Abel Gonzalez; and
- o Gisele Mata.
- The following speakers addressed the Board in regards to their support of the California
 WaterFix:
 - Peter Santillan;
 - Sarah Wiltfong; and
 - Wes May.

General Manager Hunt presented a Service Award to Kelsey Coleman for her two years of services at the District. General Manager Hunt also presented Standing Ovation awards to Priscilla Segura, Kelsey Coleman and Jordan Cooper for their hard work on District events and projects.

3. ITEMS TOO LATE TO BE AGENDIZED

(Determine the need to discuss and/or take action on item(s) introduced that came to the attention of the District subsequent to the posting of the agenda.)

NOTE: At the discretion of the Board, all items appearing on this agenda, whether or not expressly listed for action, may be deliberated and may be subject to action by the Board.

4. MWD ACTIVITIES UPDATE

 Tammy Hierlihy provided an update on Metropolitan's Second Lower Feeder Planned Shutdown, Policy Principles on Stormwater Capture and Assessed Valuation.

Director Grajeda asked staff for an update on the Quagga Mussel issue.

Staff reported that Metropolitan continued their activities and performing sampling every two weeks. Staff stated that there was no new development, no detection of any invasive species. Staff also stated that the county was willing to receive deliveries of replenishment water. Staff stated that deliveries were planned to begin in October.

Director Vasquez stated she had participated in a meeting with Metropolitan directors and the name of the group was the Greater Los Angeles Regional Caucus. She stated that the purpose of this group was for neighboring agencies to get together to share ideas and to talk about some of the projects that were being done locally. She stated that the first meeting was very productive and helpful because it allowed them to share projects, share the mission about their organizations and what they were doing locally to sustain their water supply. She stated she thought it was a great opportunity for them to continue learning about what was being done locally. Director Vasquez invited her colleagues to the groundbreaking for Metropolitan Water District's recycled water project taking place on September 18.

CONSENT CALENDAR

- 5. **ACTION ON MINUTES** July 24, 2017 (Regular) meeting of the Board of Directors
- 6. FINANCIAL REPORT
- A. **DEMANDS** Demand Numbers 20831 through 20925 (Excluding Demand No.) for a total amount of \$4,600,129.43 (including net payroll and wire transfer to MWD).
- B. INVESTMENT REPORT, LIQUIDITY AND DAY'S CASH EVALUATION JULY 2017
- 7. FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGETED VS YEAR TO DATE PERFORMANCE REPORT AT JUNE 30, 2017
- 8. WATER SUPPLY AND RESOURCES UPDATE
- 9. DISCUSSION OF RECYCLED WATER CUSTOMER DEVELOPMENT UPDATE
- 10. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE
- 11. PRESENTATION OF THE QUARTERLY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES

Director Grajeda asked to pull Item No. 7 from the Consent Calendar [for discussion].

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Chacon to approve the Consent Calendar with the exception of Item No. 7, was seconded by Director Oskoui, the Board approved the Consent Calendar by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

Director Grajeda stated that this item should be a standalone item. He stated that last year the District had lost \$1 million at the end of the year, and that the revenues were down by \$13 million and expenses were about \$4 million. He stated that should be discussed further and that he wanted to hear from the Finance director as to how the District was doing this year, as to what the finance department was doing to track the finances on a monthly basis going forward. He stated this was a very important issue to him and to his colleagues on the board and did not think this item should be on the Consent Calendar. He stated it should be a standalone item for discussion. He stated that otherwise, he wanted like to hear from the finance committee as to what they were doing to track the District's finances going forward.

General Manager Hunt stated it was at the pleasure of the Board if they would like to have this item as a standalone item.

Director Grajeda made a motion that the item regarding [the District's] financial monthly situation should be a standalone item, an action item and was seconded by Director Gedney.

Director Oskoui requested that the General Manager answer all of Director Grajedas questions and then they could make the decision whether to do it or not to do it [approve the motion].

General Manager Hunt asked Director Grajeda if his questions had to do with last year or this years budget.

Director Grajeda stated that this item was last year but the revenue was off by \$13 million and expenses were up by \$4 million. He stated they had done a very good job of working on the budget for this year, but because they had missed the mark so badly last year, he asked what the finance department was doing going forward for this year and where they stood now. He also asked what they were doing to be very watchful, so that they were saving money wherever they could.

General Manager Hunt stated that as they recalled, they had done the budget that year and they had two scenarios. He stated that one scenario, because they still had the quagga mussel uncertainty, they had budgeted for 25,000 acre-feet of replenishment water. He stated he had told the Board that he would come back in September in the finance committee with a proposal as to how they were going to deal [with District finances] if in the first quarter the quagga mussel issue had not been resolved. He stated that the good news was the Quagga mussel issue had been solved. The bad news was that as of that moment, the only order of replenishment water was for 10,400 acre feet. He stated that this left a \$1 million hole in their budget. He stated this information had been received the week prior. He stated they had been working on options for the last three months since they had started the fiscal year. He stated that they would be taking to the finance committee a plan for how they would be cutting \$1 million so that the committee could provide input and the Board could provide input.

The Board continued to discuss how this item should be addressed moving forward.

Director Oskoui made a substitute motion to keep the current structure as it currently was and was seconded by Director Aceituno.

The Board Secretary sought clarification from Assistant General Counsel on which motion the Board would be voting on first.

Assistant General Counsel clarified that the substitute motion would be voted on first.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui to continue with the current structure, was seconded by Director Aceituno, the Board did not approve the recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	No
Chacon	No
Gedney	No
Hawkins	No
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

The Board then addressed the first motion originally made by Director Grajeda and seconded by Director Gedney.

After beginning the roll call vote, with Director Oskoui voting "No," Director Vasquez asked for clarification on the motion she was about to vote on.

The Board Secretary clarified that the motion made by Director Grajeda was to have this item presented under the information calendar.

Director Grajeda stated that his concern was to only have this item discussed further by the Board before the Board approved it. He stated it was very important to go through these details with the full Board. He stated this was a chance for the Board to ask staff about the costs.

Director Oskoui asked the General Manager to explain the purpose of committee meetings.

General Manager Hunt stated that the purpose was to present in detail the matters that would go before the Board, get as much input as they could and then take a recommendation from Directors to the Board as proposed.

Direct Grajeda stated he wanted to withdraw his motion and stated he would see how the September meeting went.

Assistant General Counsel Pellman stated that since Item No. 7 had been pulled from the consent calendar, if the motion had been withdrawn, it would be appropriate for a motion to receive and file Item No. 7.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Grajeda to receive and file Item No. 7, was seconded by Director Oskoui, the Board approved the recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

ACTION CALENDAR

- 12. DISCUSSION FOR CONSIDERATION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT FOR THE CALIFORNIA WATERFIX AND DIRECTING THE CENTRAL BASIN REPRESENTATIVES AT METROPOLITAN TO EXPRESS SUPPORT FOR THE PROJECT RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board:
 - Approves, adopts and authorizes the President to sign Resolution No. 08-17-923, "A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT IN SUPPORT FOR THE CALIFORNIA WATER FIX."; and
 - 2. Direct the Central Basin representatives of the Metropolitan Water District to take a support position for the project.

Director Chacon stepped out of the meeting at 11:04 a.m. during Item No. 12 and returned at 11:06 a.m. during the same item.

Director Vasquez stated she was going to ask questions given that at the last meeting they did not have the opportunity to provide the information to the public. She asked how the Central Basin Municipal Water District service area was going to be impacted from this project. She asked how much this was going to cost their cities, who would be paying for it, who was not going to pay for it, and how would that create jobs if this project was approved. Furthermore, she asked how it would create jobs for people in their district stretching from East L.A. to Whittier to signal Hill to unincorporated areas of Compton. She stated she wanted to get more information about that.

Staff stated the overall costs were very low considering funds for local projects. Staff stated that it did support their economy if the project was built. Staff stated that they had performed a financial impact [analysis] on the California WaterFix on the Central Basin retail agencies and provided a list of all of the cities and the retail agencies that would have an impact. Staff stated that this ranged anywhere from \$.25 up to about \$5 for an increase for retail water agencies.

Director Vasquez asked if this information had been provided to their purveyors.

Staff stated that the cost analysis had just been performed right after the third white paper had come out from Metropolitan Water District. Staff reported they had just provided it to the Board for consideration but did not know if it had been shared with the purveyors.

General Manager Hunt stated that the purveyors were aware of it because they knew the percentage of groundwater that they take, the percentage of MET water. He stated that the purveyors he had talked to understood what they were doing. General Manager Hunt stated that the percentage actually ranged from zero if they did not take any MET water and stated that if WRD did what they were saying and not buy any replenishment water, then the cost would radically be zero. On the other hand, he stated, the City of Vernon, which takes a high percentage of Metropolitan water, their cost could be \$5 on the low end to \$10 on the high-end for industrial connections. He stated that those were the ranges from \$0 to \$5 a month on the low-end and \$0 to \$10 on the high-end.

Director Vasquez asked what they had done to inform their agencies.

Staff stated they have kept them apprised of any developments as often as they could. Staff stated that they most recently had a visit from Metropolitan a few weeks prior and they gave in-depth information similar to what had been presented at the Board workshop on August 21. Staff stated they understood there was a need [for the CA WaterFix project] and that they had not heard any objections [from the purveyors].

Director Vasquez asked if they knew what motion the Metropolitan District board of directors would be voting on with respect to this project.

Staff stated they [Metropolitan] would be taking a motion to take a support position on this project and stated that there were several contracting agencies across the state that would be weighing in on this matter.

Director Grajeda asked for a point of clarification he stated they [the Board] had two representatives at metropolitan and asked [the representatives] what they had been discussing there.

Director Vasquez stated they had not been told with exact precision what the resolution was going to entail. She stated they had been told they were going to commit paying 26% of the cost of the project. She stated that beyond that, she had not heard anything about more specifics. She stated there were questions that had come about with respect to the joint powers authority. She stated there would be several Joint Power Authorities created as a result of this project but that they had not been given any specificity on that either. Director Vasquez ask Dee Zinke [the Assistant General Manager and Chief of External Affairs Officer at MWD] if there were any other agencies that had already voted to finance their part of the project.

Dee Zinke responded by stating not yet.

Director Vasquez stated that it was important to look at the bigger picture because this was not just about Central Basin or just about the Metropolitan Water District, she stated it was about a statewide project that many players who were not in that room were going to be impacted by.

Dee Zinke addressed the Board to try to answer some of the questions posed by Director Vasquez. She stated that both the central valley project and the state water project contractors would be participants in this project. She stated that the project was a partnership from the state and the federal government agencies to move forward an improvement to both those supply systems. She stated that Metropolitan would be putting a staff recommendation in front of the Board of Directors on September 26, and stated that it was a full board workshop. Dee Zinke asked Director Vasquez what some of her other questions were.

Director Vasquez asked about the resolution that would be brought before the Metropolitan board.

Dee Zinke stated that the resolution would be for the Board to consider whether or not they would commit to support the project and to fund up to 26% of the project. She stated this was their current allocation. She stated that they divided the project between the state water project and the federal contractors. She stated that at that moment the assumption was that the state water contractors would pick up 55% of the cost and the central valley project contractors would pick up 35% of the cost, and stated that was the ongoing expectation. She stated that overall the total of the two projects they had was about 26% allocation for Metropolitan and that was what they would be taking to the board. She stated that as each individual contractor made their decision both on the state and federal side, they would have a determination as to whether all parties were in or if there was a gap then there would be an opportunity for MWD or any other contractor to make a supplemental decision and they might go back to the board. She stated that the decision in front of their board would be for the 26%.

Director Grajeda listed the various forums in which the California WaterFix had been discussed. He stated he understood the intensity of the questions asked both at that board meeting and the special board workshop on August 21. He stated that they were not necessarily talking about new water, he stated that this had to do with reliability. He stated this

was a project that was going to help balance the ecosystem. He stated they needed reliability. He stated he thought it was important to let Metropolitan know that they supported everything that had been presented to date. Director Grajeda made the motion to support.

Director Vasquez stated they had a very important decision to make with respect to this project. She stated she personally did not think they had done enough to make sure that they understood what the impact was going to be on their community, not just economically but with respect to jobs. She stated she thought they should delay taking a position until all of the other questions [had been addressed]. She stated that there was a joint powers authority that was going to be created for this project and asked who would be the governing structure that would be part of that group. She asked who were the cities in the communities in their district that had already said yes in supporting this project. She stated she did not think they had done enough outreach and stated she would like them to delay their vote until they had more of an opportunity to look at some of the other issues that had been discussed.

Director Grajeda stated he wanted to address one issue. He stated that this project was going to take place in Sacramento, and stated that was where the jobs would be. He also stated that Metropolitan was planning a major recycled water plan in Carson and Southern California.

General Manager Hunt stated that one of the things that complicated the issue was the layering of responsibility for this project. He explained it came from the State to Metropolitan to agencies like Central Basin. Therefore, he stated, when they went back to make a decision they needed to make their decision at one level then it went to Metropolitan and then make a decision at that level. He explained that if there were significant changes, at the workshop, that they would come back and have another board meeting and provide opportunity for the board to change position. He stated that there would always be more information but that they had to lead somewhere. He stated they needed to make their decision. He stated that with regard to community support, they had presented information on this for the three years he had been there because it was the biggest water project in front of the state at the moment. He stated it was an important vote at Metropolitan. He stated they had been working with their retail agencies and discussing this with them. He stated he had not heard of anybody voting against it yet. General Manager Hunt explained that this was a question of leadership. He stated they

got elected to be at a position sometimes where there are uncomfortable decisions. He stated that what they had to do on that day, was decide whether the Board supported the project and provide that guidance to Metropolitan through their Metropolitan directors. He stated that they could always kick it down the road but there was a certain point in time when they had to do it, make a decision. He stated that there was not going to be anything they were going to learn in the next month that would be changing the decision with what they had at that moment.

Director Vasquez stated she was not sure that was accurate. She stated they would still be getting more information about the financing component. She stated that the City of Los Angeles had their own list of questions that they had submitted, and that they were still waiting on a response. She stated that in her opinion they had not done an adequate job. She stated she had spoken to Metropolitan Water District to reach out to the Water Education for Latino Leaders which was a statewide group of elected officials that talk about water issues, and that was not done. Once again, she stated that in her opinion, they had not done enough to educate people about the impact that it was going to have with respect to jobs or with respect to water rates. Director Vasquez stated that the Compton Herald had printed an opinion piece about the California WaterFix without accurate information about the impact on the community. She stated she was elected by the people of that region and stated she had a responsibility to make sure that their residents were properly informed about the financial impact, about the jobs that are going to be created or not, and she did not think she had that information.

General Manager Hunt stated that what Metropolitan had done for years was provide a subsidy to the local projects funding which enabled them to build the recycled water system. He stated that this area was a leader in recycled water and conservation and stated they had nothing to be ashamed of in regards to their performance in the service area. He stated that the service area, throughout the drought, was one of the leaders in the State. He stated he was very proud of the performance of the people in this area. He asked [the Board] when they would be addressing this issue given that Metropolitan had their meeting on September 26.

Director Vasquez stated that was if, the Metropolitan District board voted on the 26th, but if it did not happen, they should have a special meeting a couple of days before. She stated that

her understanding was that it might not happen because there were many questions from other agencies that have yet to be answered. She stated this gave them additional time to weigh in on this issue. She stated that if it did happen maybe they have a special meeting to take a position. She said she would like to see whether the 29 other state water contractors were going to vote on being part of this project.

General Manager Hunt stated that as Dee Zinke from Metropolitan had pointed out the resolution going before the board was based upon the 26%. He stated that if anyone dropped out or if anyone went against it, they would be revisiting the item. He stated someone had to be first.

Director Oskoui stated there was a mention of other agencies potentially taking a vote on this prior to [September] 26th, and asked if that was accurate. He also asked if it was known who these agencies were and when they would be taking their vote.

General Manager Hunt stated Westlands Water District and Santa Clara Valley were discussing this, he stated they were working on getting the information.

Director Oskoui stated he agreed with the General Manager that it was their responsibility to take a position, take a stand and ultimately vote. He stated it was an awesome task and daunting task to consider supporting or not supporting a project of that magnitude. He stated that their responsibility was to study, weighing all the facts and the positions that have been taken against and for and then take a position. He stated he thought it was ultimately their mission that they have access to affordable and reliable water in California. He stated that given that one of the Metropolitan representatives was not comfortable making a decision, he wanted to have the opportunity to either participate or receive more information from the discussions that the other entities were going to have prior to the 26th.

General Manager Hunt stated that the question had come up as to who was voting, he reported that Westlands Water District was on the 19th, Zone 7 Water Agency was on the 20th, MWD was on the 26th, Kern County and Friant Water Authority were both on the 28th, and Santa Clara Valley Water District was on October 10th.

Director Vazquez then made a substitute motion to delay the vote until their next regular board meeting which was on September 25, 2017 allowing the current board to get more information and President Apodaca seconded her motion.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Vasquez to delay the vote until their next regular board meeting which was on September 25, 2017 allowing the current board to get more information, was seconded by President Apodaca, the Board approved the recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	No
Chacon	No
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	No
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

General Manager Hunt requested a brief recess.

The Board recessed at 11:40 a.m. after Item No. 12, and reconvened at 11:50 a.m.

Director Chacon had not returned to the meeting at the time it had reconvened after the brief recess.

13. ADDITION OF READINESS-TO-SERVE TO RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ADOPTING WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-2018

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board ratifies, approves, adopts and authorizes the President to sign Resolution No. 08-17-924, "A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RELATING TO WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR CALENDAR YEAR COMMENCING JULY 1, 2017 FOR TIER 1 AND TIER 2 WATER PURCHASE ALLOWANCES AND CONTINUING EACH CALENDAR THEREAFTER."

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui to approve staff recommendation, was seconded by Director Aceituno, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Absent
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

14. APPROVAL OF ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SOFTWARE RENEWAL INVOICE

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to authorize payment to Infor for license renewals of the asset management solution for an amount of \$30,480 for fiscal year 2017-18.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Vasquez, was seconded by President Apodaca, the Board approved the recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Absent
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

15. JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR DISTRICT HEADQUARTERS

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to enter into a contract with D.N.S. Solutions for janitorial services at District headquarters starting September 1, 2017 thru June 30, 2019 for amount of \$26,002, plus a 10% contingency of \$2,600, for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$28,602.

Director Chacon returned to the meeting at 11:57 a.m. during discussion of Item No. 15.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui to approve staff recommendation, was seconded by Director Aceituno, the Board approved the recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

16. SECURITY SERVICES AT DISTRICT HEADQUARTERS

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to enter into a contract with Alltech Industries Inc. for security services commencing September 1, 2017 thru June 30, 2019 for amount of \$43,500, plus a 10% contingency of \$4,350, for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$47,850.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui to approve staff recommendation, was seconded by Director Gedney, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

17. APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FOR UTC AEROSPACE RECYCLED WATER EXPANSION PIPELINE

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to file the attached Notice of Exemption at the Los Angeles County Clerk's office for the UTC Recycled Water Expansion upon project approval.

Director Vasquez stepped out of the meeting at 12:08 p.m. during discussion of Item No. 17 and returned at 12:09 p.m. during the same item.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Grajeda, was seconded by President Apodaca, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

18. PRESENTATION, DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS TO COMPLETE RETROFIT CONSTRUCTION AND TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO TO SERVE ACUNA PARK RECYCLED WATER

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to:

- 1. Execute an agreement with the City of Montebello granting the District permission to complete on-site recycled water retrofits for Acuna Park, on behalf of the city and to loan the city the funding to complete the work at a 2% annual interest rate for an amount of \$33,400, plus a 15% contingency of \$5,010, for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$38,410; and
- 2. Execute a contract with W.A. Rasic to complete the on-site retrofit work for Acuna Park in the City of Montebello for an amount of \$33,400, plus a 15% contingency of \$5,010, for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$38,410.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Chacon, was seconded by Director Oskoui, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

19. DISCUSSION AND ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER AND ALTERNATES TO THE LOS ANGELES GATEWAY REGION INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board approves, adopts and authorizes the President to sign Resolution No. 08-17-925, "RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT APPOINTING A MEMBER AND ALTERNATES TO THE LOS ANGELES GATEWAY REGION INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY."

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui, was seconded by Director Chacon, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Yes
Yes

20. APPROVAL OF TOILET DIRECT INSTALL PARTNERSHIP WITH LIBERTY UTILITIES

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board authorizes the General Manager to:

- 1. Enter into water efficiency partnership agreements with Liberty Utilities in the amount not-to-exceed of \$40,000 for the Toilet Direct Program and the CARE Toilet Direct Install Program for Fiscal Year 2017-18; and
- 2. Authorize the funds from Fiscal Year 2016-17 in the amount of \$200,000 to roll over to Fiscal Year 2017-18 in order to process the rebates.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui, was seconded by President Apodaca, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Yes
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

21. LEGAL BILLING FOR JULY 2017 FOR NOSSAMAN LLP IN EXCESS OF \$25,000

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board approve payment to Nossaman for legal services for the month of July 2017 in the amount of \$41,774.92.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Chacon, was seconded by Director Oskoui, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Abstain
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	Abstain
Aceituno	Yes
Apodaca	Yes

22. RESOLUTION FOR THE GATEWAY CITIES RECYCLED WATER EXPANSION PROJECT FUNDING APPLICATION

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the Board approves, adopts and authorizes the Board President to sign Resolution No. 08-17-926 "A RESOLUTION OF THE CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE GATEWAY CITIES RECYCLED WATER EXPANSION PROJECT AND AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE APPLICATION WITH THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD."

President Apodaca left the meeting at 12:37 p.m.

ACTION: Upon a motion duly made by Director Oskoui, was seconded by Director Vasquez, the Board approved staff recommendation by the following roll call vote:

Oskoui	Yes
Vasquez	Yes
Grajeda	Yes
Chacon	Yes
Gedney	Yes
Hawkins	No
Aceituno	Abstain
Apodaca	Absent

COMMENTS

- 23. GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT. Assistant General Counsel Pellman reported that the Districts General Counsel Alfred Smith was on vacation for the following two weeks. He stated that for economy and efficiency they recommended that if they needed to contact their office to do it through [the General Manager or the Director of Administrative Services] so they would know which attorney in their firm to direct their question to.
- 24. GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT ON DISTRICT ACTIVITIES. General Manager Hunt reported that on September 20, they would be having a lunch meeting with the Labor

Contractors Association giving a presentation on their type of work and how they could help with funding for projects. He stated they would be asking the public works director's, the general managers of many cities and districts, city managers and the mayor of the cities if they wished to attend.

- 25. DIRECTOR'S REPORT ON MEETING AND CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE. Director Chacon reported he had attended the Urban Water Institute Conference in San Diego, August 16-18, 2017. Director Hawkins also reported his attendance to the Urban Water Institute Conference in San Diego, August 16-18, 2017
- 26. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS. None.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Board, Vice President Aceituno adjourned the meeting at 12:44 p.m.

ATTEST:

Secretary

Y:\centralbasinboard\bodmin\082817cb